<u>REMARKS</u>

Reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-18 are pending in the application. It is gratefully acknowledged that in the Office Action, the Examiner objected to Claims 15-18 as being dependent on a rejected base claim, but would allow Claims 15-18 if rewritten in independent form, including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Please amend Claim 2 as set forth herein. No new matter has been added.

The Examiner rejected Claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for indefiniteness. Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), the Examiner rejected the following claims: Claims 1-2 and 6-7, as being unpatentable over U.S. Publication No. 2004/0242243 to *Luis* in view of U.S. Publication No. 2003/0120553 to *Williams*; Claims 3-5 and 8-10, as being unpatentable over *Luis* in view of *Williams*, and further in view of U.S. Publication No. 2002/0107011 to *Mazzarella et al.* (hereinafter *Mazzarella*); Claim 11, as being unpatentable over *Luis* in view of U.S. Publication No. 2003/0134660 to *Himmel et al.* (hereinafter *Himmel*); and Claims 12-14, as being unpatentable over *Luis* in view of *Himmel*, and further in view of *Mazzarella*.

Regarding the §112 rejection of Claim 2, the Examiner believes that the mobile communication system comprises more than the claimed one subscriber management database for storing the service change information. Claim 2 has been amended, as set forth above, to obviate this rejection. Specifically, Claim 2 as amended recites "a subscriber management database on every communication network formed by each service provider". It is respectfully submitted that this amendment cures the rejection. Accordingly, withdrawal of the Examiner's §112 rejection of Claim 2 is respectfully requested.

Regarding each of the §103(a) rejections, Applicant submits herewith with this Response a 37 C.F.R. §1.131 Declaration, establishing reduction to practice of the invention of the pending

application in the Republic of Korea prior to January 7, 2003, which is the effective filing date of the *Luis* reference. Specifically, Exhibit A of the enclosed Declaration is an invention disclosure document showing that the invention of the pending application was completed at least before January 7, 2003, which is earlier than the effective filing date of the *Luis* reference. A certified translation of the invention disclosure document is provided at Exhibit B. Additionally, a certified translation of application number 2003-11902 that was filed with the Korean Intellectual Property Office on February 26, 2003, is being provided as Exhibit C. It is respectfully asserted that this §1.131 Declaration renders Luis ineffective as to the present claims. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that each of the §103(a) rejections citing *Luis*, should be withdrawn. Withdrawal of the same is respectfully requested.

Independent Claims 1, 6 and 11 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Without conceding the patentability per se of dependent Claims 2-5, 7-10 and 12-18, these are likewise believed to be allowable by virtue of their dependence on their respective amended independent claims. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of dependent Claims 2-5, 7-10 and 12-18 is respectfully requested.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1-18, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul J. Farrell Reg. No. 33,494

Attorney for Applicant

DILWORTH & BARRESE 333 Earle Ovington Blvd. Uniondale, New York 11553

Tel: (516) 228-8484 Fax: (516) 228-8516

PJF/RCC/dr